JIPS 7 (2) (2026)
Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan dan Sains
E-ISSN 2721-9119
https://ejournal.unwmataram.ac.id/index.php/JIPS

Classical and Modern Techniques for Liquid Refractive Index Measurement: Working Principles, Performance Comparison, and Applications

Arlin Maya Sari[1*], Maulina Tanjung[2]

[1]Physics Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia
[2]Geophysical Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Jambi, Indonesia

----
Corresponding Author:
Author Name*: Arlin Maya Sari
Email*: arlin.ms@unimal.ac.id

Accepted: February 26th 2026. Approved: July 25th 2026. Published: August 05th 2026

ABSTRACT

The refractive index is a key physicochemical property of liquids, essential for quality control, chemical analysis, biomedical diagnostics, and environmental monitoring. Advances in optical instrumentation have led to the development of refractive index measurement techniques, evolving from conventional prism-based refractometers to automated, high-sensitivity optical systems. Despite this progress, comprehensive comparisons between classical and modern refractometric techniques are limited. This review compares four widely used liquid refractive index measurement techniques: the Abbe refractometer, the Pulfrich refractometer, the digital refractometer, and interferometric methods, focusing on their principles, advantages, limitations, performance, and applications. A structured literature review was conducted to analyze studies on measurement principles, instrumentation, operational characteristics, and practical applications. The findings show that classical refractometers remain reliable for routine measurements due to their simplicity, robustness, and cost-effectiveness. Digital refractometers offer improved automation, repeatability, and efficiency, while interferometric methods provide superior sensitivity and spatial resolution for high-precision applications, though they require more complex instrumentation and data processing. The choice of technique should be based on measurement accuracy, sample characteristics, operational requirements, and intended application.

Keywords: refractive index, refractometry, abbe refractometer, pulfrich refractometer, digital refractomete

INTRODUCTION

The refractive index (n) represents a fundamental optical constant that characterizes the interaction between electromagnetic radiation and matter. As formally defined by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), it is the ratio of the speed of light in a vacuum (c) to its propagation velocity within a given medium (v), expressed as $n=c/v$ [1]. The physical interpretation of this optical phenomenon is grounded in Snell's Law, which quantitatively describes how a light ray bends when it crosses the interface between two media with different refractive indices [2]. At the molecular level, this property arises from the electronic polarizability of molecules; the oscillating electric field of the incident light interacts with the electron clouds of the atoms, delaying photon transmission and causing the wavefront to change direction. Crucially, the refractive index of a liquid is not an invariant constant but rather a quantity highly susceptible to environmental and experimental conditions. Three dominant factors govern its value: temperature, wavelength of the incident light, and solute concentration. An increase in temperature typically lowers the refractive index due to thermal expansion, which reduces the liquid's density, with each substance exhibiting a specific thermo-optic coefficient (dn/dT) that can serve as a thermal calibration parameter [3], [4]. For binary and multi-component liquid mixtures, the correlation between refractive index and concentration often exhibits a linear or well-defined polynomial relationship, forming the quantitative foundation for analytical refractometry and enabling precise determination of mixture composition across a wide range of chemical systems [5].

The significance of refractive index measurement lies in its ability to provide critical physicochemical information through a rapid, non-destructive method that requires minimal sample preparation. This attribute has cemented refractometry as an indispensable analytical tool across diverse industrial sectors. In the food and beverage industry, refractive index measurement is the standard method for quantifying total soluble sugar content, conventionally reported in degrees Brix. This application is essential for quality assurance and authenticity verification in the production of fruit juices, soft drinks, honey, and sweetened condensed milk, where consistency in sweetness and product purity are paramount [6]. In the chemical and pharmaceutical sectors, the refractive index, alongside density and speed of sound, is a primary thermophysical property used to characterize, identify, and assess the purity of pure liquids and binary mixtures. Systematic measurements of these properties over wide ranges of temperature and composition provide essential data for process design, quality control, and the development of predictive thermodynamic models [7]. Industrial manufacturing also relies extensively on refractometry for monitoring the concentration of water-based coolants and metalworking fluids, as the refractive index provides a rapid indication of fluid composition and dilution. Routine concentration control helps maintain lubrication and cooling performance, extend tool life, minimize corrosion, and improve machining quality, making refractometers essential instruments in manufacturing process control and predictive maintenance [8].

Beyond industrial applications, refractive index measurement has become an important analytical tool in healthcare and environmental monitoring. In clinical laboratories, refractometry is routinely used to determine urine specific gravity, providing a rapid assessment of urine concentration that supports the evaluation of renal concentrating ability and hydration status while requiring only a small sample volume [9]. Digital refractometers are also employed to measure total serum or plasma protein concentrations because they offer fast, convenient analysis; however, the accuracy of the measurement should be interpreted with consideration of potential interference from non-protein solutes [10]. In environmental and oceanographic studies, the refractive index is a fundamental parameter for determining seawater salinity. Recent advances in fiber-optic refractive index sensors have enabled highly sensitive, real-time, and in-situ salinity measurements, providing valuable tools for marine environmental monitoring, ocean observation, and climate-related research [11], [12].

The wide range of refractive index applications has driven ongoing advancements in measurement techniques, from classical prism-based instruments to automated, high-sensitivity optical systems. Most previous reviews have focused on specific sensor categories, offering detailed insights into individual technologies but limited comparative analysis across different generations of refractometric instruments. As a result, researchers and end-users may find it challenging to select the most suitable technique, considering factors such as measurement accuracy, sample characteristics, operational complexity, and practical applicability.

This review addresses this gap by providing a comprehensive comparative analysis of four key liquid refractive index measurement techniques: the classical Abbe and Pulfrich refractometers, and modern digital refractometers and interferometric methods. The review integrates classical and emerging approaches within a unified framework, evaluating their working principles, instrumentation, analytical performance, advantages, limitations, and application domains. It directly compares the simplicity and accessibility of conventional refractometers with the enhanced sensitivity and automation of modern techniques. This analysis offers practical guidance for selecting appropriate refractive index measurement methods based on specific analytical requirements and clarifies the technological evolution of liquid refractometry.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study used a structured literature review to evaluate liquid refractive index measurement techniques. The review examined the development of refractometric methods from conventional optical instruments to modern systems, comparing their operating principles, analytical performance, advantages, limitations, and applications. Four representative techniques were selected for detailed discussion: the Abbe refractometer, the Pulfrich refractometer, the digital refractometer, and interferometric methods, as they represent major technological advancements and remain widely used in research, industry, and laboratory analysis.

Relevant scientific publications were identified through a systematic literature screening. Priority was given to peer-reviewed articles and reviews that provide theoretical foundations, methodological developments, experimental validation, or practical applications of refractive index measurement. Classical publications were included to describe historical development and fundamental principles, while recent studies highlighted current technological advances and emerging applications. Publications not directly addressing liquid refractive index measurement or lacking sufficient methodological detail were excluded.

The selected literature was analyzed using a qualitative comparative approach. Key information extracted included measurement principles, instrumentation, analytical performance, operational advantages, limitations, and representative applications. This information was synthesized through thematic analysis to identify similarities and differences among the techniques. The findings were then organized into a comparative framework to highlight the evolution of refractometric technologies and support evaluation of their suitability for various analytical requirements.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Classical Refactometer

Refractometer Abbe

The Abbe refractometer is one of the most influential classical instruments for measuring refractive index. It continues to serve as a laboratory standard across a wide range of scientific and industrial applications. Originally developed by Ernst Abbe in 1869 to support the characterization of optical materials for precision lens design, the instrument operates on the critical-angle principle, in which the refractive index is determined from the boundary between refracted and totally internally reflected light at the interface between a high-refractive-index prism and the sample. This principle enables rapid and reliable determination of the refractive index by applying Snell's law. Over time, the original design has undergone continuous improvements, including the incorporation of an Amici compensator to minimize chromatic dispersion, a water-circulation jacket to maintain thermal stability during measurements, and enhanced optical reading systems that improve measurement precision and ease of operation. Owing to its high accuracy, low sample volume requirement, and relatively simple optical configuration, the Abbe refractometer remains widely employed in chemical, pharmaceutical, food, and optical laboratories despite the emergence of more advanced refractive index measurement techniques [13]..

Figure 1. Illustration of refractometer [13]

Figure 1 illustrates the early development of the Abbe refractometer design as documented by Paselk, showing the characteristic inclined optical tube, prism assembly, and observation system that formed the basis of subsequent commercial instruments. The evolution of these components reflects the continuous effort to improve measurement reliability, user convenience, and instrument stability rather than a fundamental change in the measurement principle. According to Paselk's historical analysis, the essential optical concept introduced by Ernst Abbe was maintained over more than a century of development, while modifications were primarily aimed at improving illumination, temperature control, optical adjustment, and operational practicality [13]. This design stability represents one of the major strengths of the Abbe refractometer, as the critical-angle measurement approach provides a robust foundation for accurate refractive index determination. However, the historical design also reveals inherent limitations, particularly the dependence on manual observation and mechanical adjustment, which may introduce operator-related uncertainty and restrict automation. These limitations subsequently motivated the development of digital refractometers incorporating electronic imaging and automated data processing.

Recent advances have focused on improving the performance, automation, and affordability of the Abbe refractometer while preserving its fundamental measurement principle. The development of digital Abbe refractometers has enabled refractive index measurements at multiple wavelengths, allowing not only accurate refractive index determination but also characterization of optical dispersion by calculating the Abbe number. Comparative investigations have demonstrated excellent agreement between measurements obtained using a multi-wavelength Abbemat refractometer and those acquired by digital interferometry for binary liquid mixtures, confirming that the critical-angle method remains a highly reliable reference technique for physicochemical characterization and concentration analysis of liquid samples [14]. Furthermore, efforts to reduce manufacturing costs have led to the development of a low-cost Abbe refractometer with a simplified optical configuration that measures refractive indices at the standard Fraunhofer wavelengths (486.1, 589.3, and 656.3 nm) and simultaneously determines the Abbe number for both liquid and solid materials. These findings indicate that optimizing optical design and instrument components can significantly improve the accessibility of refractive index measurements in educational and routine laboratory applications without compromising measurement reliability, reinforcing the continued importance of the Abbe refractometer as a benchmark instrument for refractive index determination and optical material characterization [15].

Pulfrich Refractometer

The Pulfrich refractometer is a classical optical instrument developed to achieve higher measurement accuracy than conventional Abbe refractometers, particularly for transparent liquids and optical solids. Unlike the Abbe refractometer, which determines the refractive index from the critical angle of total internal reflection, the Pulfrich refractometer measures the angle of light deviation as a monochromatic beam passes through a sample contained in a precision V-block prism cell. The refractive index is subsequently calculated from the measured angle of deviation using Snell's law. This measurement configuration minimizes uncertainties associated with visually determining the critical-angle boundary. It provides excellent repeatability, making the Pulfrich refractometer suitable as a reference instrument for optical material characterization and calibration. Owing to its reliance on monochromatic illumination, the influence of chromatic dispersion is significantly reduced, resulting in higher measurement precision than instruments operating with broadband light sources. Consequently, the Pulfrich refractometer has been widely employed for determining the refractive indices of optical glasses, calibration liquids, and standard reference materials in optical metrology and material characterization [16], [17].

Although the Pulfrich refractometer provides superior measurement accuracy, its practical application is more limited than that of the Abbe refractometer because of its relatively complex optical alignment and stricter experimental requirements. The instrument requires a highly stable monochromatic light source, precise angular adjustment, and careful temperature control to minimize systematic errors, which increases both measurement time and operational complexity. Furthermore, the need for specialized optical components and manual alignment makes the Pulfrich refractometer less suitable for routine industrial measurements, where rapid, automated analysis is generally preferred. As a result, modern digital refractometers based on the critical-angle principle have largely replaced Pulfrich refractometers in routine laboratory practice. Nevertheless, the Pulfrich measurement concept remains important in optical metrology because its angular measurement principle has inspired the development of high-precision refractive-index measurement systems, including interferometric refractometers, prism-based metrology instruments, and digital optical refractometers for precision engineering and scientific research [17], [18], [19].

2. Modern Refractometer

Digital Refractometer

Digital refractometers represent the evolution of conventional Abbe refractometers by integrating electronic image acquisition, digital signal processing, automatic temperature compensation, and microprocessor-based data analysis into the classical critical-angle measurement principle. Instead of relying on visual observation of the light–dark boundary through an eyepiece, digital refractometers employ CCD or CMOS image sensors to capture the critical-angle image. At the same time, embedded algorithms automatically identify the transition boundary and calculate the refractive index with high precision. Most commercial instruments further incorporate Peltier-based temperature control and multi-wavelength illumination, enabling measurements under standardized thermal conditions while minimizing operator-dependent errors. These improvements significantly enhance measurement repeatability, reduce analysis time, and enable automatic calibration, digital data storage, and integration with laboratory information management systems. Comparative studies have demonstrated that digital refractometers based on the critical-angle principle achieve excellent agreement with interferometric techniques while providing simpler operation and lower computational complexity, making them suitable for routine laboratory measurements and industrial quality control. Recent developments have also introduced CMOS-based digital refractometers that eliminate the need for spectrometers by directly analyzing the reflected light distribution, thereby reducing instrument size and manufacturing costs while maintaining high measurement accuracy [19], [20].

The combination of automation, high precision, and rapid analysis has substantially broadened the application of digital refractometers across numerous disciplines. In the food and beverage industry, they are routinely used to determine soluble solids (°Brix), sugar concentration, alcohol content, edible oils, dairy products, and fruit juices for quality assurance and process control. In chemical and pharmaceutical laboratories, digital refractometers are employed for purity assessment, concentration determination, formulation verification, and characterization of binary and multicomponent liquid mixtures. Environmental and biomedical applications include the analysis of aqueous solutions, process monitoring, and determination of physicochemical properties of liquid samples. More recently, compact CMOS-based and smartphone-assisted digital refractometers have been developed for portable and low-cost measurements, extending their use to field analysis and point-of-care applications. Despite these advantages, digital refractometers remain subject to several limitations. Measurement accuracy is strongly influenced by temperature stability, prism surface cleanliness, and the optical transparency of the sample. Highly turbid, opaque, or strongly absorbing liquids can distort the reflected light boundary, reducing the accuracy of automatic edge detection. Moreover, although digital refractometers provide excellent precision for homogeneous liquids, they generally offer lower spatial resolution than interferometric or holographic techniques, which are better suited to mapping local refractive-index variations or monitoring dynamic transport phenomena. Nevertheless, the balance between accuracy, speed, ease of operation, and automation has established digital refractometers as the current standard for routine refractive index measurements in industrial, research, and clinical laboratories [19], [21], [22].

Interferometric Method

Interferometric methods are among the most accurate optical techniques for measuring the refractive index, determining it from the optical phase difference generated as coherent light passes through a sample and a reference path. Unlike critical-angle refractometry, these techniques measure phase shifts directly proportional to the optical path length, enabling detection of extremely small refractive-index variations. Common configurations include Mach–Zehnder, Michelson, Fabry–Pérot, and digital holographic interferometers, each with distinct advantages depending on the measurement objective. Recent advances in digital holography and phase retrieval algorithms have simplified interferometric systems while maintaining high precision. For example, off-axis digital holography enables direct determination of the refractive index in a conventional cuvette, achieving a resolution of approximately 5 × 10-5 RIU without requiring microfluidic chips or dual-wavelength illumination [23]. Digital holography interferometry has also been used to characterize the temperature-dependent refractive indices of aqueous solutions with high spatial resolution, enabling non-invasive, real-time mapping of refractive index distributions that are not possible with conventional refractometers [24]. Developments in integrated Mach–Zehnder interferometers have further enhanced sensitivity through optimized waveguide and sensing-region designs, enabling compact devices for precision liquid sensing [25].

The exceptional sensitivity of interferometric methods has led to their widespread application in chemical analysis, microfluidics, biomedical diagnostics, and optical material characterization. Because refractive index is obtained from phase information rather than intensity alone, interferometric techniques are particularly suitable for monitoring concentration changes, diffusion processes, chemical reactions, and thermophysical properties in transparent liquids. Recent studies have demonstrated that digital in-line holography can simultaneously determine droplet size and refractive index in segmented microfluidic flows, providing an effective tool for in situ monitoring of multiphase chemical processes where conventional refractometry is difficult to implement [26]. Furthermore, interferometric refractive index sensors based on open-cavity Mach–Zehnder structures have achieved substantially improved sensitivities through optimized modal interference, making them promising candidates for integrated optical sensing platforms [27]. Despite these advantages, interferometric methods generally require coherent laser sources, precise optical alignment, vibration isolation, and computational phase-unwrapping algorithms, resulting in higher instrument cost and greater experimental complexity than digital critical-angle refractometers. Consequently, while interferometric techniques are preferred for high-resolution laboratory measurements and scientific investigations, digital refractometers remain more practical for routine industrial quality control because of their simpler operation, lower maintenance requirements, and faster measurement procedures [14], [28].

Table 1. Comparison of Classical and Modern Refractometric Techniques for Liquid Refractive Index Measurement

Technique	Working Principle	Advantages	Limitations	Typical Samples / Applications
Abbe Refractometer	Determines refractive index from the critical angle at the interface between a high-refractive-index prism and the sample according to Snell's law.	High accuracy (≈ ±2 × 10^{-4} RIU) Simple operation Small sample volume (1–2 drops) Low cost Widely accepted as a laboratory standard	Manual reading may introduce operator bias Limited performance for colored, opaque, or turbid samples Temperature sensitive Limited automation	Transparent liquids, edible oils, beverages, sugar solutions, pharmaceuticals, optical glass
Pulfrich Refractometer	Measures the deviation angle of monochromatic light passing through a precision prism and V-block sample cell.	Very high measurement accuracy Excellent repeatability Suitable for calibration and optical metrology Reduced chromatic dispersion	Complex optical alignment Requires monochromatic light source Less convenient for routine measurements Higher cost than Abbe	Optical glass, calibration liquids, standard reference materials, transparent liquids
Digital Refractometer	Uses the critical-angle principle combined with CCD/CMOS image sensors, digital	Automatic measurement High repeatability Fast analysis	Higher instrument cost Accuracy affected by prism	Food products, beverages, pharmaceuticals, petrochemicals, chemical solutions,

Technique	Working Principle	Advantages	Limitations	Typical Samples / Applications
	image processing, automatic edge detection, and temperature compensation.	Digital data recording Minimal operator error Multi-wavelength capability	contamination and temperature Reduced performance for highly turbid or opaque samples	clinical samples, environmental water

Based on Table 1, the four refractive index measurement techniques exhibit varying performance levels that reflect the transition from conventional optical instruments to advanced automated measurement systems. The comparison shows that Abbe and Pulfrich refractometers rely on prism-based optical configurations. In contrast, digital refractometers and interferometric approaches incorporate electronic detection and advanced optical analysis to improve measurement consistency and sensitivity. This technological development has mainly been driven by the need for faster measurements, reduced dependence on operator interpretation, and improved capability to analyze small refractive index variations across different types of liquid samples.

The Abbe refractometer remains a widely accepted instrument for routine refractive index measurements due to its simple design, low operational cost, and reliable performance. Its strength originates from the critical-angle principle, which allows accurate measurements with only a small amount of sample. However, the manual identification of the refractive boundary remains a potential source of uncertainty because the final reading depends partly on the operator's observation. In addition, samples with high turbidity, strong coloration, or significant light absorption may affect boundary detection and reduce measurement reliability. Therefore, the Abbe refractometer is particularly suitable for transparent liquids, including food products, pharmaceutical solutions, and chemical samples. These characteristics are consistent with the historical development reported by Paselk (1999), who showed that improvements in Abbe refractometers were mainly focused on enhancing stability, illumination, and ease of operation while maintaining the original critical-angle measurement concept [13].

Compared with the Abbe refractometer, the Pulfrich refractometer provides higher measurement precision by determining refractive index from the angular deviation of monochromatic light passing through a precision prism and V-block sample cell. The use of monochromatic illumination reduces errors associated with chromatic dispersion, making this approach suitable for optical metrology and reference measurements. However, the higher accuracy is accompanied by increased experimental complexity, as the instrument requires precise optical alignment and stable illumination conditions. These requirements limit its application in routine analysis, despite its superior measurement capability. Thus, the Pulfrich refractometer is an important reference technique for high-precision measurements but less practical for applications requiring rapid, simple operation.

Digital refractometers overcome several limitations of classical instruments by integrating CCD/CMOS imaging systems, automatic boundary recognition, temperature compensation, and digital data processing. As summarized in Table 1, these features improve measurement repeatability, reduce operator-related errors, and enable faster analysis with automated data handling. The improved performance is mainly attributed to replacing manual optical observation with electronic image analysis, thereby allowing the refractive boundary to be determined more consistently. Nevertheless, digital refractometers still face limitations related to instrument cost, prism cleanliness, temperature control, and sample transparency. Highly turbid or opaque samples may interfere with image-based detection, reducing measurement accuracy. Previous studies have shown that digital critical-angle refractometers can provide results comparable to those of more sophisticated optical techniques while offering greater operational simplicity, supporting their widespread use in laboratory and industrial environments [19], [21].

Interferometric methods provide the highest measurement sensitivity among the techniques compared in Table 1 because they determine refractive-index changes from optical phase variations rather than from intensity-based boundary detection. This phase-based approach enables detection of extremely small refractive-index differences. It provides additional spatial information, making interferometric systems suitable for applications such as microfluidics, biomedical analysis, chemical reaction monitoring, and optical material characterization. However, achieving this level of performance requires more complex instrumentation, including coherent light sources, vibration control, precise optical alignment, and advanced computational processing. Consequently, interferometric methods are generally preferred for research applications requiring high sensitivity and spatial resolution rather than routine industrial measurements. Recent developments in digital holography and Mach–Zehnder interferometric sensors support these findings by demonstrating enhanced sensitivity while also highlighting the greater complexity of these systems compared with conventional refractometers [23]–[27]. The selection of a refractive index measurement technique involves a balance between analytical performance, operational simplicity, and cost. Classical refractometers remain advantageous for routine measurements due to their robustness and accessibility, whereas digital refractometers offer improved automation and reproducibility for industrial applications. Interferometric techniques offer superior sensitivity for advanced research applications but require more sophisticated experimental setups. Therefore, the most appropriate refractometric method should be selected based on the required measurement accuracy, sample characteristics, analytical objectives, and practical constraints rather than solely on the highest achievable sensitivity.

CONCLUSION

Refractive index measurement remains an important analytical approach for characterizing liquid samples due to its rapid, non-destructive, and reliable performance across various applications. This review highlights the evolution of refractometric techniques from classical prism-based instruments, such as Abbe and Pulfrich refractometers, to modern digital and interferometric systems with improved automation and sensitivity. Classical refractometers remain valuable for routine measurements because of their simplicity, robustness, and cost-effectiveness, while digital refractometers provide enhanced repeatability and operational efficiency through automated imaging and data processing. Interferometric methods offer the highest sensitivity and spatial resolution for advanced applications, although their implementation requires more complex instrumentation and analysis. Overall, the selection of an appropriate refractive index measurement technique depends on the balance between measurement requirements, sample characteristics, accuracy, and practical considerations. Future developments should focus on improving portability, automation, and measurement capability to support broader applications of refractometry in scientific research, industry, and healthcare.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all researchers whose published works have contributed to the preparation of this review article. Their valuable studies have provided the scientific foundation for the analysis and discussion presented in this paper. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Gold and A. McNaught, Eds., *The IUPAC Compendium of Chemical Terminology*. Research Triangle Park, NC: International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), 2025. doi: 10.1351/goldbook.
[2] Eugene. Hecht, *Optics*. Pearson, 2017.
[3] S. Singh, "Refractive Index Measurement and its Applications," *Phys. Scr.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 167–180, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.1238/Physica.Regular.065a00167.
[4] C.-Y. Tan and Y.-X. Huang, "Dependence of Refractive Index on Concentration and Temperature in Electrolyte Solution, Polar Solution, Nonpolar Solution, and Protein Solution," *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 2827–2833, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1021/acs.jced.5b00018.
[5] M. S. Ali and M. Tariq, "Prediction of Refractive Indices of Binary Mixtures of Ionic Liquids and Water," *J. Chem.*, vol. 2023, pp. 1–9, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/9934356.
[6] L. S. Magwaza and U. L. Opara, "Analytical methods for determination of sugars and sweetness of horticultural products—A review," *Sci. Hortic.*, vol. 184, pp. 179–192, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.01.001.
[7] M. J. Iqbal and M. A. Chaudhry, "Thermodynamic study of three pharmacologically significant drugs: Density, viscosity, and refractive index measurements at different temperatures," *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 221–226, Feb. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.jct.2008.09.016.
[8] G. W. A. Kui, S. Islam, M. M. Reddy, N. Khandoker, and V. L. C. Chen, "Recent progress and evolution of coolant usages in conventional machining methods: a comprehensive review," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 119, no. 1–2, pp. 3–40, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00170-021-08182-0.
[9] J. C. Rowe *et al.*, "Interobserver reliability of canine urine specific gravity assessed by analog or digital refractometers," *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 611–614, May 2021, doi: 10.1177/1040638721998295.
[10] J. J. H. Hunsaker, S. P. Wyness, T. M. Snow, and J. R. Genzen, "Clinical performance evaluation of total protein measurement by digital refractometry and characterization of non-protein solute interferences," *Pract. Lab. Med.*, vol. 6, pp. 14–24, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.plabm.2016.08.001.
[11] G. Li, Y. Wang, A. Shi, Y. Liu, and F. Li, "Review of Seawater Fiber Optic Salinity Sensors Based on the Refractive Index Detection Principle," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 4, p. 2187, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/s23042187.
[12] A. M. Sari, U. M. Dewi, and T. Setiawan, "MEASUREMENT OF REFRACTIVE INDEX OF WATER IN COASTAL AREA USING He-Ne LASER AND HOLLOW PRISM," *JURNAL INOVASI PENDIDIKAN DAN SAINS*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 108–112, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.51673/jips.v4i2.1618.

[13] R. A. Paselk, "The evolution of the abbe refractometer," *Bulletin-Scientific Instrument Society*, pp. 19–22, 1999.
[14] M. A. Rahman, Q. Galand, M. Soliman, S. Van Vaerenbergh, and M. Z. Saghir, "Measurement of refractive indices of binary mixtures using digital interferometry and multi-wavelength Abbemat refractometer," *Opt. Lasers Eng.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 503–513, May 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.optlaseng.2013.01.002.
[15] W. Pongruengkiat, T. Jungpanich, K. Ittipornnuson, S. Pechprasarn, and N. Albutt, "Development of Low-Cost Abbe Refractometer," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 879, pp. 227–233, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.879.227.
[16] S. Mohan, E. Kato, J. K. Drennen, and C. A. Anderson, "Refractive Index Measurement of Pharmaceutical Solids: A Review of Measurement Methods and Pharmaceutical Applications," *J. Pharm. Sci.*, vol. 108, no. 11, pp. 3478–3495, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.xphs.2019.06.029.
[17] O. Kruger and N. Chetty, "Development of a permanent vacuum hollow prism air refractometer for use in dimensional metrology," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 9290, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88697-4.
[18] R. Khan, B. Gul, S. Khan, H. Nisar, and I. Ahmad, "Refractive index of biological tissues: Review, measurement techniques, and applications," *Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther.*, vol. 33, p. 102192, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.pdpdt.2021.102192.
[19] H. Yang *et al.*, "A CMOS Image Sensor Based Refractometer without Spectrometry," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 1209, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22031209.
[20] Z. Yanan *et al.*, "Refractive index determination of liquids in a cuvette by quantitative phase measurement with digital off-axis holography," *Measurement*, vol. 248, p. 116822, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2025.116822.
[21] W. J. W. Miller, K. Torres-Ruedas, S. M. Waxman, D. Allen, J. Turman, and A. J. Bartholomew, "Using a Handheld Refractometer in Remote Environments to Measure the Refractive Indices of a Variety of Solutions: Sucrose, Coffee, Methanol/Water, and 2-Propanol/Water," *J. Chem. Educ.*, vol. 98, no. 8, pp. 2730–2734, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00012.
[22] J. Tang, G. Qiu, X. Zhang, and J. Wang, "A 3D-cascade-microlens optofluidic chip for refractometry with adjustable sensitivity," *Lab Chip*, vol. 21, no. 19, pp. 3784–3792, 2021, doi: 10.1039/D1LC00570G.
[23] Z. Yanan *et al.*, "Refractive index determination of liquids in a cuvette by quantitative phase measurement with digital off-axis holography," *Measurement*, vol. 248, p. 116822, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2025.116822.
[24] C. Alvarez *et al.*, "Digital Holography Interferometry for Refractive Index Characterization and Temperature Mapping of Aqueous Solutions at Supercooled Temperatures," *Laser Photon. Rev.*, vol. 19, no. 9, May 2025, doi: 10.1002/lpor.202400921.
[25] I. Doughan, K. Oyemakinwa, O. Ovaskainen, and M. Roussey, "Strip-loaded Mach–Zehnder interferometer for absolute refractive index sensing," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 3064, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-53326-3.
[26] P. Bresson, T. Kurdadze, I. Rodriguez-Ruiz, and F. Lamadie, "Fluid Composition Analysis in Segmented Microflows via Refractive Index Measurement with Digital In-Line Holography," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 97, no. 40, pp. 21805–21810, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5c03147.
[27] J. Cui *et al.*, "In-line open-cavity Mach-Zehnder interferometric refractive-index sensors based on inter-mode and inter-core-mode interferences," *Opt. Lasers Eng.*, vol. 172, p. 107880, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.optlaseng.2023.107880.
[28] H. Yang *et al.*, "A CMOS Image Sensor Based Refractometer without Spectrometry," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 1209, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22031209.