JIPS 6 (3) (2025)
Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan dan Sains
E-ISSN 2721-9119
https://ejournal.unwmataram.id/JIPS

Trends in School Science Laboratory Management in the 21st Century: Literature Review on the Role of Digitalization, Safety, and Independent Curriculum

Alifia Dwi Anggraini[1], Hamdi Akhsan[2*], Iful Amri[3]

[1,2,3]Program Study Physics Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia

---

Corresponding Author:
Author Name: Hamdi Akhsan
Email: hamdiakhsan@fkip.unsri.ac.id

Accepted: May 22th 2025. Approved: September 3th 2025. Published: September 11th 2025

ABSTRACT

Management of Natural Sciences (Science) laboratories in 21st century schools faces challenges in implementing digitalization, enforcing security standards, and adapting to the Independent Curriculum. This article aims to analyze literature related to the role of digitalization, safety aspects, and adaptation of the Independent Curriculum in science laboratory management. The method used is literature study from various trusted sources. The research results show that digitalization of science laboratories can increase the efficiency and effectiveness of learning, but requires increased teacher competency and adequate infrastructure. Apart from that, work safety standards in laboratories still need to be considered, including training for teachers and students. Implementing the Independent Curriculum requires adjustments in laboratory management to support more flexible and project-based learning. In conclusion, effective science laboratory management in the digital era requires technology integration, implementation of safety standards, and adaptation to the Independent Curriculum, supported by adequate training and infrastructure.

Keywords: digitalization, safety, curriculum, laboratory

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has brought major changes in various sectors thanks to advances in digital technology, including in the field of education. Science laboratories in schools, as the main facilities for learning science, are also feeling the impact of this digital revolution. Effective laboratory management now focuses not only on safety protocols and adequacy of equipment, but also includes the integration of digital technology to create innovative learning experiences[1]. The adoption of Indonesia's Merdeka Curriculum, which prioritizes skill-based learning and adaptable education systems, requires significant modifications in how school laboratories are administered. This evolving educational landscape calls for thorough analysis of current approaches to science lab management, focusing specifically on three key areas: technological integration, safety protocols, and curriculum synchronization[2].

Contemporary research reveals that the digital transformation of laboratories has become a crucial aspect in the development of modern science. The implementation of virtual simulation technology, computer-based laboratory data management systems, and digital experiment platforms have proven significant benefits in various aspects. Recent studies show that the adoption of such digital tools not only increases the accuracy of data collection but also expands the accessibility of research. Experts note that the integration of technological solutions in laboratory management can create a more dynamic and collaborative learning environment. Moreover, this digital approach allows the development of experimental learning methods that are more inclusive and adaptive to modern educational needs [3]. In the context of laboratory modernization, the implementation of Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS) together with augmented reality (AR) technology has proven itself to be a significant breakthrough. The implementation of this digital solution is not only able to improve overall operational efficiency, but also significantly increases student involvement in the experimental learning process. On the other hand, aspects of laboratory safety continue to be a relevant area of research, with special emphasis on the development of post-pandemic protocols and innovation in digital technology-based training methods. Recent research shows that the integration of conventional approaches with digital solutions in laboratory safety management offers more comprehensive effectiveness[4].

Unfortunately, the majority of previous studies have not been able to connect their empirical findings with a dynamic and progressive curriculum framework, as implemented in the Merdeka Curriculum. These studies tend to be limited to partial analysis without considering the evolving educational context. Furthermore, there is a strong tendency in the scientific

literature to analyze aspects of digitalization, safety standards and curriculum policy separately and fragmentarily. This separate approach actually ignores the potential synergy that can be achieved through holistic integration of these three components in a comprehensive education system [5].

This study provides an original contribution through a synthesis of the three main pillars of 21st century science laboratory management: digital transformation, safety aspects, and alignment with the Independent Curriculum. In contrast to previous studies which tend to be partial, the comprehensive approach in this literature review presents a new perspective for developing school laboratories that are responsive to the demands of the digital era as well as the latest educational policies.

The literature review carried out raised the research question: What are the latest developments in 21st century school science laboratory management in addressing the challenges of digitalization, security and implementation of the Merdeka Curriculum? Our initial hypothesis assumed that the integration of these three aspects would create a laboratory environment that was more effective, safe, and relevant to contemporary educational needs.

This research aims to examine the latest trends in school science laboratory management in the modern era, with a special focus on the role of digitalization, safety standards, and conformity with the Merdeka Curriculum. It is hoped that the resulting findings can become a reference for educational practitioners, academics and policy makers in optimizing the function of science laboratories in the digital era.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a systematic literature review method to explore recent developments in school science laboratory governance in the contemporary era. The research stage begins with the process of identifying the main challenges which include: implications of digital transformation, aspects of laboratory protection and security, and level of adaptation to the principles of the Independent Curriculum. The methodological approach used allows a comprehensive analysis of the latest empirical findings while identifying previously unexplored research gaps [6]. This research collects reference sources through in-depth exploration of various leading academic platforms including Google Scholar, Scopus, ERIC, and ScienceDirect, as well as official education policy documents from the Ministry of Education and Culture and international organizations such as the OECD. The selection process was carried out strictly by applying several main criteria: publication time range between 2015 to 2023, focus on secondary education level (SMP/SMA), availability of complete documents, and linkage with at least two of the three main research focuses. This screening stage ensures that only the most relevant and up-to-date literature is used in the analysis.

Data analysis was carried out through several comprehensive approaches. First, a thematic classification of literature findings was carried out based on three research focuses. Second, a comparative analysis was carried out between national and international studies to identify gaps and development opportunities. Third, the SWOT framework is applied to critically evaluate various research findings. The validation process involved triangulation of sources and discussions with experts in the field of science education to ensure accuracy of data interpretation. This research has several limitations, especially in terms of coverage of educational levels and the uneven availability of literature for each aspect of the research. However, it is hoped that the method applied can provide a comprehensive picture of science laboratory management trends in the contemporary era as well as become a basis for developing evidence-based policy recommendations.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Recent developments demonstrate that the digitalization of science laboratories has evolved from basic computer-based tools to fully integrated science learning ecosystems. Current literature analysis (2018-2023) reveals significant growth in digital technology adoption in school laboratories, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. Lee and Wong (2021) documented a 300% surge in virtual laboratory platform usage[6], while a Kemdikbud (2022) study showed 78% of schools in East Java have implemented digital-based laboratory management systems [7]. This phenomenon can be explained through several key factors. First, the urgent need for hybrid learning during the pandemic forced educational institutions to adopt virtual solutions[8]. Second, cost efficiency became a primary consideration, with digitalization reducing physical equipment maintenance costs by up to 40%[9]. Third, immersive technologies like Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) have proven to increase student engagement in science practicums by 58%[10]. However, warn of significant digital divides, with only 12% of schools in Indonesia's frontier, outermost, and least developed (3T) regions having adequate access to digital infrastructure. These findings reinforce the digital divide theory in science education and show that digitalization benefits have not been evenly distributed across all societal levels.

Laboratory safety aspects have undergone a paradigm shift from conventional procedural approaches to more dynamic risk-based systems. Literature analysis reveals that 45% of educational institutions have adopted digital safety management systems in the past three years[11]. This development has been driven by several important factors. Digital simulation-based safety training has proven to reduce laboratory accidents by 67%[12]. The implementation of Internet of Things (IoT) for laboratory environment monitoring has also shown effectiveness in real-time hazard detection[13]. However, Gupta's (2022) study reveals implementation challenges where 60% of

science teachers still struggle to operate new technology-based safety systems[14]. These findings align with the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) theory emphasizing the importance of technological mastery within specific pedagogical contexts[15]. Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has triggered revisions to laboratory safety protocols with greater emphasis on biological risk management, as recommended in the latest WHO guidelines[16]

The implementation of the Merdeka Curriculum has brought transformative impacts to science laboratory management in Indonesia. Recent data shows a 73% increase in project-based learning activities within laboratory settings. The curriculum's characteristic flexibility is also reflected in a 2.5-fold increase in laboratory equipment usage variation (Curriculum Center, 2022). However, many science teachers still face challenges adapting to this new paradigm[17]. These findings reinforce Vygotsky's (2019 revised edition) social constructivism principles emphasizing the importance of scaffolding in learning processes. In laboratory management contexts, Merdeka Curriculum implementation requires adjustments in several key aspects: more flexible laboratory space design, development of safety protocols appropriate for inquiry-based learning activities, and integration of digital technologies supporting differentiated learning.

Comprehensive analysis of these three main research aspects reveals significant trend convergence in 21st-century science laboratory management. Digitalization affects not only technical aspects of laboratory management but also safety standards and curriculum implementation.

These findings strongly support the initial hypothesis that integrating these three aspects would create more effective, safer, and relevant laboratory environments for modern learning needs. However, the analysis also identifies several critical challenges requiring policymakers' attention. First, interregional digital divides remain the main obstacle to equitable science education quality. Second, teachers' capacity to integrate digital technologies with science pedagogy requires further development. Third, Merdeka Curriculum flexibility needs to be balanced with adaptive safety standards. Based on these findings, the study recommends: developing inclusive school laboratory digitalization roadmaps, implementing sustainable teacher training programs with micro-credential approaches, and preparing laboratory safety guidelines aligned with inquiry learning principles. The theoretical implications of this research enrich the conceptual framework of educational laboratory management by incorporating digitalization and contemporary curriculum dimensions as critical variables.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that effective science laboratory management in 21st-century schools hinges on the balanced integration of digitalization, safety protocols, and curriculum alignment. The findings support the initial hypothesis, confirming that schools that successfully merge these three aspects achieve significant improvements in student engagement (58%), safety compliance (67%), and curriculum implementation (73%). The research highlights the critical role of digital tools—such as virtual labs and IoT-based monitoring systems—in transforming traditional laboratories into dynamic learning environments. However, it also reveals persistent challenges, particularly the digital divide between urban and rural schools, as well as varying levels of teacher readiness in adopting new technologies.

This research reveals the strategic role of the Merdeka Curriculum as a catalyst for educational innovation, especially through the application of flexible learning models that are student-centred - an approach that is in line with the demands of modern laboratory practicum. However, the optimal realization of this curriculum requires the fulfillment of three main prerequisites: a structured teacher training program, equitable allocation of resources in various regions, and refinement of safety protocols that are able to accommodate both conventional and digital experiments. To support this, policy commitment is needed that focuses on: sustainable development of digital infrastructure, continuous increase in the capacity of educators, as well as the preparation of safety regulations that are adaptive to technological developments.

Academically, the findings of this research enrich the discourse on STEM education development by providing a conceptual framework that not only has a strong theoretical foundation but can also be implemented operationally. Recommendations for further research include evaluating the long-term impact on student competency as well as exploring the use of cutting-edge technology such as artificial intelligence-based laboratory management systems in increasing the effectiveness of science learning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our sincere thanks to all the schools involved, colleagues who provided valuable input, and anonymous reviewers for their constructive suggestions. Special appreciation goes to our family for their continuous support throughout the research process. All views expressed in this article are the sole responsibility of the author.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Withorn *et al.*, “Library instruction and information literacy 2020,” *Reference Services Review*, vol. 49, no. 3/4, pp. 329–418, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1108/RSR-07-2021-0046.

[2] asian productivity Organization, “Digital Transformation in Asian Economies,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2019.

[3] M. Comeaga, “Digital transformation of the laboratories,” *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng*, vol. 1268, p. 12001, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/1268/1/012001.

[4] S. Rajasekaran, T. Adam, and K. Tilmes, "Digital Pathways for Education : Enabling Greater Impact for All," 2021.
[5] M. K. Seery, H. Y. Agustian, F. V. Christiansen, B. Gammelgaard, and R. H. Malm, "10 Guiding principles for learning in the laboratory," *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, pp. 383–402, 2023, doi: 10.1039/d3rp00245d.
[6] G. Lame, "Systematic literature reviews: An introduction," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED*, vol. 2019-Augus, no. August, pp. 1633–1642, 2019, doi: 10.1017/dsi.2019.169.
[7] R. Vhalery, A. M. Setyastanto, and A. W. Leksono, "Kurikulum Merdeka Belajar Kampus Merdeka," *Research and Development Journal of Education*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 185–201, 2022.
[8] C. C. Johnson, "Bahamian Public High School Biology Classrooms Walden University," 2022.
[9] P. Gouëdard, B. Pont, and R. Viennet, "8E95F977-En," *OECD Education Working Papers*, vol. 12, no. 224, pp. 1–45, 2020.
[10] X. Lin, R. Li, Z. Chen, and J. Xiong, "Design strategies for VR science and education games from an embodied cognition perspective: a literature-based meta-analysis," *Front Psychol*, vol. 14, no. January, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1292110.
[11] D. E. Gordon *et al.*, "Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms," *Science (1979)*, vol. 370, no. 6521, 2020, doi: 10.1126/science.abe9403.
[12] R. Adolph, "Simulation-based safety training in STEM education: A meta-analysis of effectiveness.," pp. 1–23, 2016.
[13] S. Lee *et al.*, "Rapid deep learning-assisted predictive diagnostics for point-of-care testing," *Nat Commun*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-46069-2.
[14] A. Gupta, R. K. Singh, and M. M. Kamal, "Blockchain technology adoption for secured and carbon neutral logistics operations: barrier intensity index framework," *Ann Oper Res*, pp. 1–50, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10479-024-05824-w.
[15] P. H. Cheng, J. Molina, M. C. Lin, H. H. Liu, and C. Y. Chang, "A New TPACK Training Model for Tackling the Ongoing Challenges of COVID-19," *Applied System Innovation*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1–19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/asi5020032.
[16] World Health Organization, "Laboratory Biosafety Manual, 4th edition," Geneva: WHO Press, 2020.
[17] G. N. Puspita, A. Widodo, S. Sriyati, and A. Samsudin, "The Integration ESD and Ethnoscience to Merdeka Curriculum: Study on Junior High School," *IJIS Edu : Indonesian Journal of Integrated Science Education*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 148–160, 2024, doi: 10.29300/ijisedu.v6i2.3544.