ANURAN DIVERSITY IN KARST AREAS, GERANTUNG AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL BIOINDICATOR

Nurlita Lestariani¹, Ahmad Geofani², Lisalatul Isnaeni³

^{1,2,3} Biology Science Education, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, State Islamic University of Mataram, Indonesia

*Email: nurlita@uinmataram.ac.id

Accepted: May 7th 2024. Approved: August 22th 2024. Published: August 31th 2024

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine the diversity of anura types in the karst area, especially the conservation area of Gerantung, Central Lombok. This study uses the Visual Encounter Survey (VES) method combined with the patch and time search methods. Patches were selected based on representative areas for anura habitat with a size of 100 m². A total of 6 patches (plots) were used. The time search method was used by determining the observation time: afternoon and evening, with an observation duration of 2-3 hours per day. Sample data analysis using the frequency calculation and the Shannon-Wiener diverse index. There are 9 species of Anura found in Gerantung karst area: *Duttaphrynus melanostictus, Iningerophrynus biporcatus, Fejryvarya limnocharis, Kaloula baleata, Occidozyga lima, Occidozyga sumatrana, Microhyla orientalis, Microhyla palmipes,* and *Polypedates leucomystax.* The two most abundant species are *Ingerophrynus biporcatus* and *Fejervarya limnocharis*. Meanwhile, the species with the highest frequency are *Ingerophrynus biporcatus* and *Leucomystax polypedates*, which is shows that the distribution of *Ingerophrynus biporcatus* and *Leucomystax polypedates* is even. Anura diversity found in the Karst area is classified as medium, which shows that the stability of the ecosystem is moderate.

Keywords: Anura, Diversity, Karst Area

INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity has a crucial role in maintaining ecosystem stability [1] [2]. Biodiversity functions to maintain ecosystem balance, provide biological resources, maintain climate stability, and increase ecosystem resilience to disturbances [3] [4]. Anuras are a group of animals that are very sensitive to environmental changes, so they are often used as ecological indicators to assess habitat quality [5]. The order Anura consists of Bufonidae, Ranidae, Dicroglossidae, Rhacophoridae, Microhylidae, and Megophryidae [6] [7].

Anura undergoes metamorphosis from larvae (tadpoles) that live in water to adult forms that can live on the land [8]. Anura skin is quite variable; frogs are generally smooth and moist, while toads have rough and bumpy skin [9] [10]. Anura skin has mucus glands that secrete mucus to keep the skin moist [11] [12]. Some anuran species even have skin that can produce poison for self-defense from predators [13]. Naturally, anuras like humid habitats, bushes, standing water, and canopies [14] [15]. However, Anura can be found in a variety of habitats, including tropical rainforests, deserts, mountains, and wetlands [16].

The presence and diversity of Anura not only reflect ecosystem conditions [17], but are also important in nutrient cycles and as a natural controller of insect pests [18] [19]. Frogs and toads are effective insect predators [20] [21]. They help control insect populations, including those of pests such as mosquitoes, flies, and agricultural insects. Anuras are an important food source for a variety of predators, including birds, mammals, reptiles, and fish [22]. Tadpoles (anura larvae) play a role in the

nutrient cycle in aquatic ecosystems [23]. They help break down organic materials, converting them into simpler forms that can be used by other organisms. Tadpoles help control algal growth, which can reduce eutrophication and maintain water quality [24]. Some species of toad dig in the ground for shelter or to find food, which helps aerate the soil. Due to their permeable skin and a life cycle that includes both aquatic and terrestrial phases, anura are highly sensitive to environmental changes such as water pollution, climate change, and habitat degradation [25] [26]. A decline in Anura populations can be an early indication that the ecosystem is experiencing stress or damage [27]. Research and monitoring of anura populations can provide important data about pollution, climate change, habitat degradation, and other ecosystem problems.

Karst areas, such as those in Gerantung Village, Central Lombok Regency, have a unique ecology with limestone formations and caves, often providing habitat for various endemic species [28] [29] [30] [31]. However, research on anuran diversity in this karst area is still very limited [32]. The lack of data and information regarding herpetofauna in Indonesia's karst regions, especially in Lombok, creates a significant knowledge gap. Without a deep understanding of existing species and their habitat conservation, and environmental management efforts will be less effective [33] [34]. Based on this, it is necessary to carry out an inventory and documentation of anura diversity in the karst area of Gerantung Village. Through an ecological approach and field surveys, this research will identify existing anuran species and analyze their density and diversity. This research not only

aims to increase scientific information about the herpetofauna in the region but also to provide a strong basis for conservation efforts and sustainable habitat management.

RESEARCH METHOD

The specimens studied were all types of amphibians belonging to the Anura order, which live in the karst area of Gerantung Village, Central Lombok Regency. The tools and materials used in this research are: a Global Positioning System (GPS), a camera, specimen bags, a net, and headlamps. The research was conducted for six days, from May 5 to 10, 2023. The method used was the Visual Encounter Survey (VES) method combined with patch and time search methods. The Visual Encounter Survey (VES) method uses survey lines (transects) based on the area being studied (following natural features such as rivers or rice fields). Patches were selected based on representative areas for anura habitat with a size of 100 m². A total of six patches (plots) were used.

Data collection on anuran diversity was carried out in a total of six plots in the Gerantung area: plots 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, and 141. Plot 136 represents an area with high human activity because it is close to the road. Plot 136 represents an area with high human activity because it is close to the road. Plot 137 represents an area with low human activity, such as grasslands and water bodies (ponds) with riparian vegetation, which is a potential habitat for anuran. Plots 138 and 139 are rice fields with tall trees and a dense canopy, but without standing water. Plot 140 is an open forest area, with some human activity around the area. Plot 141 is an open area with a small lake in it and lots of bushes and herbs around the irrigation.

The time search method was used by determining the observation time: afternoon and evening, with an observation duration of 2-3 hours per day. All specimens (order Anura) had their discovery coordinates recorded, documented, captured, and identified. Anura identification refers to [35], [36], and [37]. The data obtained was analyzed using the Shannon-Wiener diversity index and frequency of species occurrence.

$$H' = -\sum (Pi ln Pi)$$

While $Pi = Ni/N$

H': Diversity Index

Ni: Number of individuals of each species N: Total number of individuals of all species

Criteria:

= very low diversity 0 <H'<1 1<H'<1.5 = low diversity 1.5 < H' < 2.0 = medium diversityH'>2.0 = high diversity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There were 9 types of anurans found in the Gerantung karst area; Duttaphrynus melanostictus, Ingerophrynus biporcatus, Fejervarya limnocharis, Kaloula baleata, Occidozyga lima, Occidozyga sumatrana. Microhyla orientalis, Microhyla palmipes, and Polypedates leucomystax (Table 1). Ingerophrynus biporcatus and Fejervarya limnocharis are the most abundant anura in Gerantung karst area. The appearance of the two types can be seen in Figure 1

Table 1. Anura Diversity in The Gerantung Karst Area

Class	Order	Familia	Familia Species	
Amphibia	Anura	Bufonidae	Duttaphrynus melanostictus	9
		Bufonidae	Ingerophrynus biporcatus	76
		Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya limnocharis	39
		Dicroglossidae	Kaloula baleata	5
		Dicroglossidae	Occidozyga lima	2
		Dicroglossidae	Occidozyga sumatrana	8
		Microhylidae	Microhyla orientalis	2
		Microhylidae	Microhyla palmipes	3
		Rhacophoridae	Polypedates leucomystax	8





Figure 1. The most abundant types of frogs and toads in karst area, Gerantung (a) Ingerophrynus biporcatus; and (b) Fejervarya limnocharis.

Table 2 shows the frequency of anura species found in the karst area, Gerantung. The species Fejervarya limnocharis and Polypedates leucomystax are the species most frequently found in Gerantung.

Table 2. Frequency of Species of The Anura Order in Karst Areas, Gerantung

Familia	Species	Frequency
Bufonidae	Duttaphrynus melanostictus	50%
Bufonidae	Ingerophrynus biporcatus	50%
Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya limnocharis	100%
Dicroglossidae	Kaloula baleata	50%
Dicroglossidae	Occidozyga lima	16.70%
Dicroglossidae	Occidozyga sumatrana	50%
Microhylidae	Microhyla orientalis	16.70%
Microhylidae	Microhyla palmipes	33.30%
Rhacophoridae	Polypedates leucomystax	83.30%

Analysis of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') (Table 3) shows that the level of anuran diversity in the karst area of Gerantung is included in

the medium level. This illustrates the diversity of species of the anura order in karst areas; gerantung is not too low or too high.

Table 3. Number of Individuals and Diversity Index of Anura in Karst Area, Gerantung

Location	Plots						Total	Shannon-Wiener Diversity
	136	137	138	139	140	141	individuals	Index
Number	5	7	3	6	3	3	2.7	1 43

Ingerophrynus biporcatus is generally found in primary and secondary forests that have water flow and fairly dense vegetation [38]. Ingerophrynus biporcatus has habitat preferences such as river areas with standing water, forests, or areas with dense vegetation [39]. The abundance of the *Ingerophrynus* biporcatus species indicates that the physicochemical factors in Gerantung's environment are suitable for the life of this species. This species prefers temperatures around 30 °C, a humidity of 76%, and a neutral pH of 6 [40]. Based on measurements, the temperature in Gerantung ranges between 24 and 31 °C (air temperature), while the water temperature ranges between 23 and 30 °C. This temperature is ideal for the reproduction of Ingerophrynus biporcatus. Although primary forests are their main habitat, Ingerophrynus biporcatus also shows tolerance to habitat disturbance and can be found in secondary forests and areas experiencing moderate changes due to human activities [41]. Therefore, even though the research location is dominated by rice fields, the population is quite high.

Meanwhile, Fejervarya limnocharis has a habitat preference in the form of open areas such as wet habitat types, including river floods, wet agricultural areas such as rice fields, ditches, swamps, parks, gardens, and other habitats covered by forest canopies. Stagnant water provides a suitable environment for their reproduction [41]. Fejervarya limnocharis shows high tolerance to habitats affected by human activities [42] [43]. Therefore, even though the study location is close to residential areas, the population is also quite high.

Even though the numbers are less abundant, the *Polypedates leucomystax* species apparently has a fairly high frequency of findings. This means that the population of this species has a fairly even distribution. This condition can be caused by its high adaptability to various environments [43]. As a tree frog, *Polypedates leucomystax* can use these trees and bushes for resting, hunting, and shelter from

predators. Trees, shrubs, and herbs in damp areas and close to standing water are the preferred habitat for *Polypedates leucomystax* [44]. This species is also easily found in irrigation canals and ditches, which provide sufficient water and vegetation to meet its living needs. *Polypedates leucomystax* is easy to find at night, especially when resting on the trunks and stems of trees and shrubs [45] [46]. All *Polypedates leucomystax* found during the research were adults.

Duttaphrynus melanostictus, known as the common toad, is a very common anuran species found in various parts of Asia [47]. This toad has a medium-to-large body with rough, black-spotted skin [48]. Duttaphrynus melanostictus prefers terrestrial habitats such as gardens, rice fields, city parks, and areas close to human settlements. They are often found in humid areas and have direct access to air for breeding. This species is very adaptable and can be found in urban and suburban areas [32]. Although it can adapt to various environments, Duttaphrynus melanostictus is rarely found in dense forests or far from human settlements. Duttaphrynus melanostictus is a very adaptable species and can live in various habitats, including karst areas. However, they prefer areas that have direct access to the air surface and moderate humidity. This is in accordance with the findings [49]. Dry karst or with little surface water may not support its digestion.

Kaloula baleata is a small toad with a round body and smooth skin [50]. This species can be found in Southeast Asia, including Indonesia and the Philippines. They prefer forest habitats with high humidity and lots of vegetation that offer shelter and food sources. This species can also be found in gardens and agricultural lands, especially in areas with lots of shelter [51]. This frog is rarely found in densely populated urban areas that are inhabited by humans. They need a humid environment, so dry habitats are not suitable. Kaloula baleata is a species that likes to take shelter in humid and sheltered places [52], which are often found in caves or karst

crevices. They can utilize karst habitats that have lots of natural shelter. However, this species is less suitable for living in dry or open karst areas [53]. This is in accordance with research findings because the research location is dominated by an open era that is heavily influenced by human activities.

Occidozyga lima, known as the ringed frog, is a species that is often found in Southeast Asia. This frog has a small and slender body with a greenish brown color and a ring pattern on its body. Occidozyga lima is often found in swamps, ponds, and rice fields where there is a pool of still air. This species also prefers habitats such as riverbanks with calm air and stable vegetation [54]. In contrast, Occidozyga lima is difficult to find in dense forests or far from air sources. This species has difficulty surviving in karst areas that do not have surface water, such as rivers or lakes. This is in accordance with research findings [55]. This species is very rarely found in Gerantung village because the vegetation in the area is relatively changeable and dominated by rice fields.

Occidozyga sumatrana is a species similar to Occidozyga lima but has a more limited geographical distribution. Commonly found in Sumatra and several parts of Southeast Asia. Just like Occidozyga lima, Occidozyga sumatrana prefers wet habitats such as swamps, ponds, and rice fields. This species is also found in areas of thick, humid vegetation, including forests that have air communities [56] [57]. This frog is not suitable for habitats that lack air [57]. Therefore, they will rarely be found in dry karst areas. They will be difficult to find in environments where humans have intervened or in urban areas.

Microhyla orientalis is a species of small frog found in Southeast Asia, including Indonesia. They have small bodies and are often brown or gray in color. Microhyla palmipes is another small species in the genus Microhyla, found in Southeast Asia. These frogs are known for their long, slender legs and their preference for humid environments. Both species are often found in humid rainforests, especially in areas with lots of fallen leaves and plant debris. They can also be found in rice fields or in other wetlands that provide high humidity [58][59]. However, they are less suited to habitats that are too open and dry and are rarely found in urban areas [60]. Karst covered by vegetation can provide suitable habitat for this species. Gerantung Village is a karst area that tends to be open and heavily influenced by human activities. This makes both species difficult to find.

Plants in rice fields include trees, bushes, and herbs. The variations in microhabitat available include open areas with bushes and grass, lake areas with riparian vegetation, and forest areas with tall trees and dense canopies. Diversity analysis shows a moderate level of diversity among anuran species. This may be caused by several factors. First, there is limited water availability. Karst areas have rapid drainage, where surface water quickly flows into the soil through soil cracks [61]. This reduces the

amount of surface water habitat required by many Anura species to reproduce and survive. Second, Karst areas tend to experience quite extreme fluctuations in temperature and humidity [62] [63]. Many Anura species require stable, moist environmental conditions to reproduce and survive. Third, some Anura species in karst areas may be specialist species that can only live in very specific environmental conditions. This limits the number of species that can live in this area. Fourth, fragmented microhabitats. Suitable habitat for Anura in karst areas is scattered and fragmented, making it difficult for the species to find suitable places to breed and shelter.

Rice fields, on the other hand, also have conditions that can inhibit the reproduction of anuras. Rice fields often experience seasonal changes in water availability, from waterlogged during the growing season to dry at harvest. This creates an environment that is not always stable for many anura species [64]. Additionally, rice fields are generally fairly homogeneous habitats with little variation in vegetation structure [65]. This could limit the number of microhabitats available to support the diversity of Anura species. The use of pesticides and other chemicals in agriculture has a negative impact on Anura populations, thereby reducing species diversity [66] [67]. Activities such as tillage and harvesting can disrupt Anura habitat and reduce shelter and breeding areas [68].

CONCLUSION

Anuras found in the Gerantung karst area consist of 9 species: Duttaphrynus melanostictus, Iningerophrynus biporcatus, Fejryvarya limnocharis, Kaloula baleata, Occidozyga lima, Occidozyga sumatrana. Microhyla orientalis, Microhyla palmipes, and Polypedates leucomystax. The highest species abundance was found in the species and Ingerophrynus biporcatus Fejervarya limnocharis. This illustrates the species' ability to adapt to ecosystem disturbances. Meanwhile, the highest frequency of occurrence was found in the species Ingerophrynus biporcatus and Leucomystax polypedates. This shows that the distribution of the two species is even. The diversity of anurans found in the Karst area is classified as moderate. Data on the abundance, frequency, and diversity of anurans in the Gerantung karst area shows that ecosystem stability is at a moderate level.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H.J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O.L. and Reich, P.B. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 555-569.
- [2] Gonzalez, A., Germain, R.M., Srivastava, D.S., Filotas, E., Dee, L.E., Gravel, D., Thompson, P.L., Isbell, F., Wang, S., Kéfi, S. and Montoya,

- J. (2020). Scaling-up biodiversity-ecosystem functioning research. *Ecology Letters*, 23(4), 757-776.
- [3] Xu, Q., Yang, X., Yan, Y., Wang, S., Loreau, M., & Jiang, L. (2021). Consistently positive effect of species diversity on ecosystem, but not population, temporal stability. *Ecology Letters*, 24(10), 2256-2266.
- [4] Chen, L., Jiang, L., Jing, X., Wang, J., Shi, Y., Chu, H., & He, J. S. (2021). Above-and belowground biodiversity jointly drive ecosystem stability in natural alpine grasslands on the Tibetan Plateau. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 30(7), 1418-1429.
- [5] Zaffaroni-Caorsi, V., Both, C., Márquez, R., Llusia, D., Narins, P., Debon, M., & Borges-Martins, M. (2023). Effects of anthropogenic noise on anuran amphibians. *Bioacoustics*, *32*(1), 90-120.
- [6] Rumanta, M., Kunda, R. M., & Iryani, K. (2019). Diversity and Species composition of anura in telaga warna nature conservation, west java, Indonesia. *International Journal of Zoology Studies*, 4(5), 35-40.
- [7] Sah, H. H. A., & Grafe, T. U. (2020). Larval anuran assemblages in tropical rainforest streams in Borneo. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, *15*(1), 105-117.
- [8] Akat Çömden, E., Yenmiş, M., & Çakır, B. (2023). The complex bridge between aquatic and terrestrial life: Skin changes during development of amphibians. *Journal of developmental biology*, 11(1), 1-6.
- [9] Mailho-Fontana, P. L., Titon Jr, B., Antoniazzi, M. M., Gomes, F. R., & Jared, C. (2022). Skin and poison glands in toads (Rhinella) and their role in defence and water balance. *Acta Zoologica*, 103(1), 112-128.
- [10] Channing, A. (2019). *Amphibians of central and southern Africa*. Cornell University Press.
- [11] Arun, D., Sandhya, S., Akbarsha, M. A., Oommen, O. V., & Divya, L. (2020). An insight into the skin glands, dermal scales and secretions of the caecilian amphibian Ichthyophis beddomei. Saudi journal of biological sciences, 27(10), 2683-2690.
- [12] Gong, Y., Zeng, Y., Zheng, P., Liao, X., & Xie, F. (2020). Structural and bio-functional assessment of the postaxillary gland in Nidirana pleuraden (Amphibia: Anura: Ranidae). Zoological Letters, 6, 1-16.
- [13] Ringler, E., Rojas, B., Stynoski, J. L., & Schulte, L. M. (2023). What amphibians can teach us about the evolution of parental care. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 54, 43-62.
- [14] Aisien, M. S., Edo-Taiwo, O., & Imasuen, A. A. (2021). Ecological scenarios and parasite diversity in anurans of West Africa: a review. *Diversity*, 13(6), 223.

- [15] Ferrell, S. T. (2021). Amphibian behavior for the exotic pet practitioner. *Veterinary Clinics: Exotic Animal Practice*, 24(1), 197-210.
- [16] Covarrubias, S., González, C., & Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, C. (2021). Effects of natural and anthropogenic features on functional connectivity of anurans: a review of landscape genetics studies in temperate, subtropical and tropical species. *Journal of Zoology*, 313(3), 159-171.
- [17] Cruz-Elizalde, R., Pineda-López, R., Ramírez-Bautista, A., & Berriozabal-Islas, C. (2022). Diversity and composition of anuran communities in transformed landscapes in central Mexico. *Community Ecology*, 23(1), 103-114.
- [18] Tripathi, P., Rathaur, S., Antil, J., Kanaujia, A., & Shukla, S. (2024). Anurans and their contribution to agriculture: a review. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 10(5), e69656-e69656.
- [19] Earl, B. C., McIntosh, A. R., O'Regan, R. P., Brown, S. K., & Warburton, H. J. (2023). Invasion of a non-native anuran likely disrupts pond ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, 68(7), 1194-1210.
- [20] Sugiura, S. (2020). Predators as drivers of insect defenses. *Entomological Science*, 23(3), 316-337.
- [21] Shuman-Goodier, M. E., Diaz, M. I., Almazan, M. L., Singleton, G. R., Hadi, B. A., & Propper, C. R. (2019). Ecosystem hero and villain: Native frog consumes rice pests, while the invasive cane toad feasts on beneficial arthropods. Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 279, 100-108.
- [22] Machado, H. T. S., Araújo, K. C., Benício, R. A., & Ávila, R. W. (2020). Feeding habits of Dermatonotus muelleri (Anura, Microhylidae) from a semiarid region in Pernambuco state, Northeastern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology, 81, 1120-1121.
- [23] Montaña, C. G., Silva, S. D., Hagyari, D., Wager, J., Tiegs, L., Sadeghian, C., ... & Schalk, C. M. (2019). Revisiting "what do tadpoles really eat?" A 10-year perspective. Freshwater Biology, 64(12), 2269-2282.
- [24] Youngquist, M. B., & Boone, M. D. (2021). Larval development and survival of pondbreeding anurans in an agricultural landscape impacted more by phytoplankton than surrounding habitat. *Plos one*, *16*(7), e0255058.
- [25] Zaffaroni-Caorsi, V., Both, C., Márquez, R., Llusia, D., Narins, P., Debon, M., & Borges-Martins, M. (2023). Effects of anthropogenic noise on anuran amphibians. *Bioacoustics*, *32*(1), 90-120.
- [26] Medina, R. G., Lira-Noriega, A., Aráoz, E., & Ponssa, M. L. (2020). Potential effects of climate change on a Neotropical frog genus: changes in the spatial diversity patterns of

- Leptodactylus (Anura, Leptodactylidae) and implications for their conservation. *Climatic Change*, 161(4), 535-553.
- [27] Carrasco, G. H., de Souza, M. B., & de Souza Santos, L. R. (2021). Effect of multiple stressors and population decline of frogs. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28, 59519-59527.
- [28] Goldscheider, N. (2019). A holistic approach to groundwater protection and ecosystem services in karst terrains. *Carbonates and Evaporites*, 34(4), 1241-1249.
- [29] Canedoli, C., Ficetola, G. F., Corengia, D., Tognini, P., Ferrario, A., & Padoa-Schioppa, E. (2022). Integrating landscape ecology and the assessment of ecosystem services in the study of karst areas. *Landscape Ecology*, 37, 347– 365.
- [30] Geekiyanage, N., Goodale, U. M., Cao, K., & Kitajima, K. (2019). Plant ecology of tropical and subtropical karst ecosystems. *Biotropica*, *51*(5), 626-640.
- [31] Cardoso, R. C., Ferreira, R. L., & Souza-Silva, M. (2021). Priorities for cave fauna conservation in the Iuiú karst landscape, northeastern Brazil: a threatened spot of troglobitic species diversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 30(5), 1433-1455.
- [32] Febriyono, M., Nayasilana, I. N., & Masyithoh, G. (2023). Anuran communities in the dry karst ecosystem of Central Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 24(12), 6484-6492.
- [33] Mims, M. C., Moore, C. E., & Shadle, E. J. (2020). Threats to aquatic taxa in an arid landscape: Knowledge gaps and areas of understanding for amphibians of the American Southwest. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 7(4), e1449.
- [34] Sterrett, S. C., Katz, R. A., Brand, A. B., Fields, W. R., Dietrich, A. E., Hocking, D. J., ... & Grant, E. H. C. (2019). Proactive management of amphibians: Challenges and opportunities. *Biological Conservation*, 236, 404-410.
- [35] Iskandar, D.T. (1998). Amfibi Jawa dan Bali: Seri Panduan Lapangan. Cetakan Pertama. Puslitbang Biologi-LIPI. Bogor.
- [36] Kurniati H. (2003). Amphibians and Reptiles of Gunung Halimun National Park West Java, Indonesia. Research Centre for Biology LIPI and Nagao Natural Environment Foundation NEF. Bogor.
- [37] van Kampen, P. N. (1923). *The amphibia of the Indo-Australian archipelago*. EJ Brill, Limited.
- [38] Türkmen, G., and N. Kazanci. 2010. Applications of various biodiversity indices to benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in streams of a national park in Turkey. *Review of Hydrobiology 3*(2): 111-125.

- [39] Kurniawan, N., Septiadi, L., Fathoni, M., Kadafi, A. M., & Marhendra, A. P. W. (2022). A Checklist of the Herpetofauna of Nusa Kambangan Island, Central Java, Indonesia. Tropical Life Sciences Research, 33(2), 91-131.
- [40] Erawan, T. S., Jauhan, J., Husodo, T., Wulandari, I., Fauzi, D. A., Megantara, E. N., & Shanida, S. S. (2021). Herpetofauna diversity and distribution based on the elevational range in West Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal* of *Biological Diversity*, 22(10), 4308-4319.
- [41] Zamroni, Y., Septian, I. G. N., Artiningrum, N. T., & Hadi, I. (2021, November). Dietary niche breadth of endemic and introduced anurans (Amphibia: Anura) in Lombok, Lesser Sunda Islands–Indonesia. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 913, No. 1, p. 012046). IOP Publishing.
- [42] Amarasinghe, A. T., Putra, Henkanaththegedara, S. M., Dwiyahreni, A. A., Winarni, N. L., Margules, C., & Supriatna, J. (2021). Herpetofaunal diversity of West Bali National Park, Indonesia with identification of indicator species for long-term monitoring. Global Ecology and Conservation, 28, e01638, 1-16.
- [43] Kurniawan, N., Ananda, A. A., Kamila, F., Ardiantoro, A., Bagaskara, S. W., & Kurnianto, A. S. (2018). Exploration of Herpetofauna Habitat as Tourism Attraction: Ecology, Preferences, and Potentials. *Journal of Indonesian Tourism and Development Studies*, 6(1), 1-8.
- [44] Nurulhuda, Z., Daicus, B., Shukor, M. N., Hatta, M. F., & Norhayati, A. (2015). Spatial and temporal variation of amphibian assemblage at Kuala Gandah, Krau Wildlife Reserve, Pahang, Peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Applied Biology*, 44(2), 107-117.
- [45] Sarkar, S., & Dey, M. (2022). Feeding Selectivity in Anuran Species From A Tea Cultivation Area of Barak Valley, Assam, India. *Russian Journal of Herpetology*, 29(3) 127 136.
- [46] Ustad, I. (2019). "Ultrastructure of The Skin of The Tree Frog" *Polypedates leucomystax*. *Think India Journal*, 22(31), 441-444.
- [47] Mithu, A. I., Ridoy, M. M. M., & Prangon, S. H. (2024). Predation on Asian Common Toad (*Duttaphrynus melanostictus*) by Bengal monitor lizard (Varanus) in National botanical garden, Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Zoology*, 52(1), 133-137.
- [48] Zhang, L., Yuan, X., Sheng, Y., Zhong, X., Liao, J., Liu, Z., & Chen, W. (2020). No male preference for large females in the Asian common toad (*Duttaphrynus melanostictus*): Effect of the sex ratio and breeding

- system. Asian Herpetological Research, 11(4), 328-334.
- [49] Parmar, H., Khandla, Y., & Trivedi, V. M. (2021). Habitat Preference and Distribution of Herpetofauna in the City of Rajkot and Vicinities, Gujarat. *Environment and Ecology*, 39(2), 494-505.
- [50] Riyanto, A., & Rahmadi, C. (2021). Amphibian and reptile diversity of Peleng Island, Banggai Kepulauan, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas: Journal of Biological Diversity*, 22(5), 2930-2939.
- [51] Shahrudin, S. S. (2021). Amphibians of bukit larut forest Reserve, Perak, Peninsular Malaysia: A field guide. Penerbit USM.
- [52] R Zug, G. (2022). Amphibians and Reptiles of Myanmar: Checklists and Keys. I. Amphibians, crocodilians, and turtles. Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press.
- [53] Ayu, K. L., Maghfiroh, N. L., Falah, A. A., Haekal, M., Saputro, A. T. E., & Yudha, D. S. (2020). Herpetofauna community structure and distribution of Watu Joglo cave area, Gunung Kidul, Special Region of Yogyakarta. In BIO Web of Conferences, 19, 1-8.
- [54] Zug, G. R., & Mulcahy, D. G. (2020). Identification Guide Amphibians & Reptiles of South Tanintharyi. Fauna and Flora International.
- [55] Ong, D., & Shahrudin, S. (2023). Habitat Assemblage of Amphibians from Langkawi Archipelago, Kedah, Peninsular Malaysia. Current Herpetology, 42(2), 171-190.
- [56] Gillespie, G., Ahmad, E., Scriven, S., & Shia, A. (2021). Field Guide to the Frogs of the Lower Kinabatangan Region, Sabah.
- [57] Gorin, V.A., Solovyeva, E.N., Hasan, M., Okamiya, H., Karunarathna, D.S., Pawangkhanant, P., de Silva, A., Juthong, W., Milto, K.D., Nguyen, L.T. and Suwannapoom, C. (2020). A little frog leaps a long way: compounded colonizations of the Indian Subcontinent discovered in the tiny Oriental frog genus Microhyla (Amphibia: Microhylidae). *PeerJ*, 8, e9411.
- [58] Eprilurahman, R., Atmaja, V. Y., Munir, M., Hamidy, A., Arisuryanti, T., & Ubaidillah, R. (2021). The oriental tiny frog of the genus Microhyla Tschudi, 1839 (Amphibia: Anura: Microhylidae) revealed across geographical barriers of the Wallace line. *Journal of Tropical Biodiversity and Biotechnology*, 6(02), 1-11.
- [59] Amarasinghe, A. T., Putra, Henkanaththegedara, S. M., Dwiyahreni, A. A., Winarni, N. L., Margules, C., & Supriatna, J. (2021). Herpetofaunal diversity of West Bali National Park, Indonesia with identification of indicator species for long-term monitoring. Global Ecology and Conservation, 28, e01638.

- [60] Sumarmin, R., Hidayat, R., Putra, R., Saldayu, F., Hanifa, S., Fajri, M. I., & Putra, Z. A. (2019). Anuran Species in Kayu Tanam Area. *Environment and Ecology Research*, 7(2), 111-115.
- [61] Fischer, P., Pistre, S., & Marchand, P. (2022). Effect of fast drainage in karst sinkholes on surface runoff in Larzac Plateau, France. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 43, 101206.
- [62] Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., & Wang, J. (2024). Extreme temperatures affect NDVI in Karst ecological fragile area. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 155(3), 2017-2029.
- [63] Xiong, Y., Zhou, Z., Ding, S., Zhang, H., Huang, J., Gong, X., & Su, D. (2023). Spatiotemporal variation characteristics and influencing factors of karst cave microclimate environments: A case study in Shuanghe Cave, Guizhou Province, China. Atmosphere, 14(5), 813.
- [64] Do, M. S., Son, S. J., Choi, G., Yoo, N., Koo, K. S., & Nam, H. K. (2021). Anuran Community Patterns in the rice fields of the mid-western region of the Republic of Korea. Global Ecology and Conservation, 26, e01448.
- [65] Baba, Y. G., Osawa, T., Kusumoto, Y., & Tanaka, K. (2023). Multi-Spatial-Scale Factors Determining the Abundance of Frogs in Rice Paddy Fields and Their Potential as Biological Control Agents. *Wetlands*, 43(2), 17.
- [66] Suárez, R. P., Goijman, A. P., Cappelletti, S., Solari, L. M., Cristos, D., Rojas, D., & Gavier-Pizarro, G. I. (2021). Combined effects of agrochemical contamination and forest loss on anuran diversity in agroecosystems of eastcentral Argentina. Science of The Total Environment, 759, 143435.
- [67] Agostini, M. G., Roesler, I., Bonetto, C., Ronco, A. E., & Bilenca, D. (2020). Pesticides in the real world: The consequences of GMObased intensive agriculture on native amphibians. *Biological Conservation*, 241, 108355.
- [68] Collins, S. E. J. (2019). Agriculture and the Life Aquatic: Effects of Agricultural Landscape Structure on Farmland Aquatic Biodiversity and Water Quality (Doctoral dissertation, Carleton University).